Non-bifurcation of critical periods from semi-hyperbolic polycycles of quadratic centers

D. Marín, M. Saavedra and J. Villadelprat

Departament de Matemàtiques, Edifici Cc, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona,
08193 Cerdanyola del Vallès (Barcelona), Spain
Centre de Recerca Matemàtica, Edifici Cc, Campus de Bellaterra,
08193 Cerdanyola del Vallès (Barcelona), Spain

Departamento de Matemática, Facultad de Ciencias Físicas y Matemáticas
Universidad de Concepción, Barrio Universitario, Concepción, Casilla 160-C, Chile

Departament d'Enginyeria Informàtica i Matemàtiques, ETSE,
Universitat Rovira i Virgili, 43007 Tarragona, Spain

January 27, 2021

Abstract. In this paper we consider the unfolding of saddle-node

$$X = \frac{1}{xU_a(x,y)}\Big(x(x^\mu - \varepsilon)\partial_x - V_a(x)y\partial_y\Big),$$

parametrized by (ε, a) with $\varepsilon \approx 0$ and a in an open subset A of $\mathbb{R}^\alpha$, and we study the Dulac time $\mathcal{T}(s; \varepsilon, a)$ of one of its hyperbolic sectors. We prove (Theorem A) that the derivative $\partial_s \mathcal{T}(s; \varepsilon, a)$ tends to $-\infty$ as $(s, \varepsilon) \to (0^+, 0)$ uniformly on compact subsets of A. This result is addressed to study the bifurcation of critical periods in the Loud's family of quadratic centers. In this regard we show (Theorem B) that no bifurcation occurs from certain semi-hyperbolic polycycles.

1 Introduction and main results

The present paper deals with planar polynomial ordinary differential systems and we study the qualitative properties of the period function of centers. A singular point of a planar differential system is a *center* if it has a punctured neighbourhood that consists entirely of periodic orbits surrounding it. The largest neighbourhood with this property is called the *period annulus* of the center and we denote it by $\mathscr{P}$. The *period function* assigns to each periodic orbit in $\mathscr{P}$ its period. If the period function is constant then the center is called *isochronous*. The study of the period function is a nontrivial problem and questions related to its behaviour have been extensively studied. Let us quote, for instance, the problems of isochronicity (see [4, 5, 25]), monotonicity (see [1, 24, 27]) or bifurcation of critical periods (see [2, 12, 13]). Aside from the intrinsic interest of these problems, the study of the period function is also important in the analysis of nonlinear boundary value problems and in perturbation theory. Indeed, for instance, under the condition of non-criticality of the period function, zeros of appropriate Melnikov functions guarantee the persistence of subharmonic periodic orbits of a Hamiltonian system after a small periodic non-autonomous perturbation (see [8, 15]). Most of the work on planar polynomial differential

systems, including the present paper, is related to questions surrounding the well known Hilbert's 16th problem (see [9, 11, 22, 26] and references therein) and its various weakened versions.

Chicone [3] has conjectured that if a quadratic differential system has a center with a period function which is not monotonic then, by an affine transformation and a constant rescaling of time, it can be brought to the Loud normal form

$$
\begin{cases}
\dot{u} = -v + Buv, \\
\dot{v} = u + Du^2 + Fv^2,
\end{cases}
\tag{1}
$$

and that the period function of these centers has at most two critical periods. In fact, there is much analytic evidence that the conjecture is true (see [6, 24, 27] for instance). On the other hand, it is proved in [10] that if $B = 0$ then the period function of the center at the origin of system (1) is globally monotonous. So, from the point of view of the study of the period function, the most interesting stratum of quadratic centers is the family (1) with $B \neq 0$, which can be brought to $B = 1$ by means of a rescaling. Thus, using the vector field notation, in this paper we consider

$$
L_a := (-v + uv)\partial_u + (u + Du^2 + Fv^2)\partial_v \text{ where } a := (D, F) \in \mathbb{R}^2.
\tag{2}
$$

Following the terminology in [2], we shall refer to this family as the *dehomogenized Loud's centers*.

Compactifying $\mathbb{R}^2$ to the Poincaré disc, see for instance [7], the boundary of the period annulus $\mathscr{P}$ of the center has two connected components, the center itself and a polycycle. We call them, respectively, the *inner* and *outer boundary* of the period annulus. Since period function is defined on the set of periodic orbits in $\mathscr{P}$, usually the first step is to parametrize this set, let us say $\{\gamma_s\}_{s \in (0,1)}$, so that one can study the qualitative properties of the period function by means of the map $s \mapsto$ period of γ_s, which is analytic on $(0, 1)$. The *critical periods* are the critical points of this function and its number, character (maximum or minimum) and distribution do not depend on the particular parametrization of the set of periodic orbits used. The dehomogenized Loud's family (2) depends on a two-dimensional parameter a and our aim is to decompose $\mathbb{R}^2 = \cup V_i$ so that if a_1 and a_2 belong to the same set V_i, then the corresponding period functions are qualitatively the same (i.e., their critical periods are equal in number, character and distribution.) A parameter $a_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is a *regular value* if it belongs to the interior of some V_i, otherwise it is a *bifurcation value*. The set of bifurcation values is $\mathscr{B} := \cup \partial V_i$ and, roughly speaking, it consists of those parameters $a_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ for which some critical period emerges or disappears as $a \to a_0$. There are three different situations to consider:

(a) Bifurcations of critical periods from the inner boundary (i.e., the center).

(b) Bifurcations of critical periods from the interior of the period annulus.

(c) Bifurcations of critical periods from the outer boundary (i.e., the polycycle).

We refer the reader to [18] for the definition of these notions.

With regard to the dehomogenized Loud's centers (2), the bifurcation from the center was already solved by Chicone and Jacobs [2]. Our goal is to study the bifurcation from the polycycle and to this end, together with P. Mardešić, we have devoted a series of papers (see [17–21, 23]). The polycycle consists of regular trajectories and singular points with a hyperbolic sector, which after the desingularization process give rise to hyperbolic saddles and saddle-nodes. Most of the cases studied so far correspond to hyperbolic polycycles, i.e., such that all the singularities at its vertices are hyperbolic saddles. Although this is the generic case in the family under consideration, in order to solve the problem we must tackle the non-hyperbolic polycycles as well. Among them there are two cases in which the polycycle has saddle-nodes, namely $(D, F) \in [-1, 0] \times \{1\}$ and $(D, F) \in [-1, 0] \times \{0\}$. In both cases the saddle-node bifurcation occurs at infinity, so one needs to extend the vector field to infinity by using, for instance, the Poincaré compactification (see Figure 1). We treated the first case in [20], where we proved a general result addressed to study the local passage through a singularity unfolding a saddle-node bifurcation. In the present paper we study the second case by adapting the general tools obtained in [20]. Let us briefly explain the similarities and differences between both cases. The key point is that the vector field L_a has a Darboux first integral, which enables to find *local* changes of coordinates that bring each unfolding to a suitable normal form. In the first case, see the proof of [20, Theorem C], the saddle-node unfolding L_a for $D \in (0, 1)$ and $F \approx 1$ can be brought locally to

$$
\frac{1}{yU_a(x, y)} \left(x(x^2 - \varepsilon)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - V_a(x)y\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right), \text{ where } \varepsilon = 2(F - 1)
$$

2

Figure 1: Phase portrait of L_a in the Poincaré disc for $D \in (-1, 0)$ and $F \approx 1$ (top) and for $D \in (-1, 0)$ and $F \approx 0$ (bottom), where the center at the origin is shifted to the left for convenience and the vertical line is $u = 1$. The saddle-node singularity occurs for $F = 1$ and $F = 0$, respectively, and is placed at the intersection between the line at infinity (the boundary of the disc) and $u = 1$.

and $y = 0$ corresponds to the line at infinity. (In its regard we remark that the polar factor can be neglected to draw the phase portrait but this cannot be done to study the time.) In this case the hyperbolic saddles at $\partial \mathscr{P}$ bifurcating from the saddle-node are placed at infinity for $F < 1$ and $F > 1$ (see the three phase portraits at the top of Figure 1). In the present paper, by using the same techniques, we will show that the saddle-node unfolding L_a for $D \in (0, 1)$ and $F \approx 0$ can be brought locally to

$$\frac{1}{xU_a(x, y)}\left(x(x^2 - \varepsilon)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - V_a(x)y\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right), \text{ where } \varepsilon = -2F.$$

Here the line at infinity corresponds to $x = 0$. Unlike the previous case, the hyperbolic saddles at $\partial \mathscr{P}$ bifurcating from the saddle-node are located at infinity for $F > 0$ but they are not for $F < 0$ (see the three phase portraits at the bottom of Figure 1). Thus, besides the saddle-node bifurcation, in this case there is a second geometric phenomenon, namely, that the hyperbolic saddles at $\partial \mathscr{P}$ which bifurcate from the saddle-node located at infinity come to the finite plane for $F < 0$. In this paper we deal with this more intrincate case and our main result states that there is no bifurcation of critical periods.

In order to present our results in its full generality we adopt the framework introduced in [20]. We consider the unfolding of saddle-node

$$X = \frac{1}{xU_a(x, y)}\Big(x(x^\mu - \varepsilon)\partial_x - V_a(x)y\partial_y\Big), \tag{3}$$

parametrized by (ε, a) with $\varepsilon \approx 0$ and a in an open subset A of $\mathbb{R}^\alpha$, and where

- $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$,
- $(x, y, a) \mapsto U_a(x, y)$ is analytic on $[-r, r]^2 \times A$. Moreover, for each $a \in A$, $U_a(0, 0) > 0$ and the Taylor series of $U_a(x, y)$ at $(0, 0)$ is absolutely convergent on $[-r, r]^2$.

3

Figure 2: Transverse sections associated to the Dulac time $\mathcal{T}(s;\varepsilon,a)$ of the saddle-node unfolding (3) for $\varepsilon = 0$ (and taking μ odd).

- $(x,a) \mapsto V_a(x)$ is analytic on $[-r, r] \times A$ and, for all $a \in A$, $V_a(0) > 0$.

By rescaling, we can assume that $r = 1$ and $V_a(x) > 0$ for all $(x, a) \in [-1, 1] \times A$. In what follows we denote by ϑ_ε the largest real root of $x(x^\mu - \varepsilon) = 0$, i.e.,

$$\vartheta_\varepsilon = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \varepsilon \leqslant 0, \\ \varepsilon^{1/\mu}, & \text{if } \varepsilon \geqslant 0. \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

Observe then, see (3), that $(x, y) = (\vartheta_\varepsilon, 0)$ is a hyperbolic saddle of X for $\varepsilon \neq 0$. We are interested in the Dulac time $\mathcal{T}(\cdot;\varepsilon,a)$ of the saddle-node unfolding (3) between the transverse sections $\{y = 1\}$ and $\{x = 1\}$. More concretely, see Figure 2, for each $s > 0$ small enough, we define $\mathcal{T}(s;\varepsilon,a)$ to be the time that spends the trajectory of X starting at $(s + \vartheta_\varepsilon, 1)$ to arrive to $\{x = 1\}$.

Theorem A. *The Dulac time $\mathcal{T}(s;\varepsilon,a)$ of the saddle-node unfolding* (3) *between the transverse sections* $\{y = 1\}$ *and* $\{x = 1\}$ *verifies that*

$$\lim_{(s,\varepsilon) \to (0^+,0)} \partial_s \mathcal{T}(s;\varepsilon,a) = -\infty$$

uniformly (with respect to a) on every compact subset of A.

In the next result we consider the family of dehomogenized Loud's centers (2), whose study is the main motivation of the present paper.

Theorem B. *Setting $a = (D, F)$, let $\{L_a, a \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$ be the family of vector fields in* (2) *and consider the period function of the center at the origin. Then every $a = (D, F) \in (-1, 0) \times \{0\}$ is a local regular value of the period function at the outer boundary of the period annulus.*

For a precise definition of local regular value we refer the reader to [18, Definition 2.4], but roughly speaking it means that no critical period bifurcates from these parameter values.

2 Proofs of Theorems A and B

Proof of Theorem A. Let $y = y(x; s)$ be the trajectory of the vector field $x(x^\mu - \varepsilon)\partial_x - V_a(x)y\partial_y$ with initial condition $y(s + \vartheta_\varepsilon; s) = 1$. Then there exist $s_0, \varepsilon_0 > 0$ small enough such that the Dulac time of (3) between $\{y = 1\}$ and $\{x = 1\}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{T}(s;\varepsilon,a) = \int_{s+\vartheta_\varepsilon}^{1} \left. \frac{U_a(x, y)}{x^\mu - \varepsilon} \right|_{y=y(x;s)} dx$$

4

for all $s \in (0, s_0]$ and $\varepsilon \in [-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0]$. Next, by applying the Weierstrass Division Theorem (see for instance [14, Theorem 1.8] or [16, Theorem 6.1.3]), we write

$$xU_a(x, y) = xU_a(x, 0) + y\hat{U}_a(x, y),$$

where $\hat{U}_a(x, y)$ is an analytic function on $(x, y, a) \in [-1, 1]^2 \times A$. Accordingly it turns out that $\mathcal{T}(s; \varepsilon, a) = \mathcal{T}_0(s; \varepsilon, a) + \mathcal{T}_1(s; \varepsilon, a)$ with

$$\mathcal{T}_0(s; \varepsilon, a) := \int_{s+\vartheta_\varepsilon}^1 \frac{U_a(x, 0)}{x^\mu - \varepsilon} dx \text{ and } \mathcal{T}_1(s; \varepsilon, a) := \int_{s+\vartheta_\varepsilon}^1 \frac{y\hat{U}_a(x, y)}{x(x^\mu - \varepsilon)} dx.$$

Note, and this is the key point, that $\mathcal{T}_1(s; \varepsilon, a)$ is the Dulac time of the saddle-node unfolding

$$\hat{X} = \frac{1}{y\hat{U}_a(x, y)} \Big(x(x^\mu - \varepsilon)\partial_x - V_a(x)y\partial_y \Big),$$

which is in the hypothesis of [20, Corollary B]. Thus, by applying that result with $\ell = k = 1$, we obtain functions $c_0(\varepsilon, a)$ and $c_1(\varepsilon, a)$, satisfying that for every compact set $K_a \subset A$, there exists $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that c_0 and c_1 are continuous on $[-\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1] \times K_a$ and

$$\mathcal{T}_1(s; \varepsilon, a) = c_0(\varepsilon, a) + c_1(\varepsilon, a)s + sh(s; \varepsilon, a), \tag{5}$$

where the function h in the remainder verifies $\lim_{s \to 0^+} h(s; \varepsilon, a) = 0$ and $\lim_{s \to 0^+} s\partial_s h(s; \varepsilon, a) = 0$ uniformly on $[-\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1] \times K_a$. Observe on the other hand that

$$\partial_s \mathcal{T}_0(s; \varepsilon, a) = -\frac{U_a(s + \vartheta_\varepsilon, 0)}{(s + \vartheta_\varepsilon)^\mu - \varepsilon}.$$

Thus, the hypothesis $U_a(0, 0) > 0$ for all $a \in A$ and the fact that ϑ_ε tends to 0 as $\varepsilon \to 0$, imply that for each compact set $K \subset A$ there exist positive constants M, s_0 and ε_0 such that $U_a(s + \vartheta_\varepsilon, 0) > M$ for all $s \in (0, s_0]$, $\varepsilon \in [-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0]$ and $a \in K$. Accordingly

$$\lim_{(s, \varepsilon) \to (0^+, 0)} \partial_s \mathcal{T}_0(s; \varepsilon, a) = -\infty$$

uniformly on every compact subset of A. Consequently, taking (5) also into account,

$$\partial_s \mathcal{T}(s; \varepsilon_a) = \partial_s \mathcal{T}_0(s; \varepsilon, a) + c_1(\varepsilon, a) + h(s; \varepsilon, a) + s\partial_s h(s; \varepsilon, a) \to -\infty$$

as $(s, \varepsilon) \to (0^+, 0)$ uniformly on K_a. This concludes the proof of the result. ∎

Proof of Theorem B. For the sake of convenience we reverse time in the original dehomogeneized Loud family (2) and consider the vector field $-L_a$ instead. To study the saddle-node bifurcation that occurs at infinity we work in the projective plane $\mathbb{RP}^2$ and perform the change of coordinates

$$(z, w) = \left(\frac{1}{v}, \frac{1 - u}{v} \right).$$

The meromorphic extension of $-L_a$ in these coordinates is given by

$$\bar{X}_a := \frac{1}{z} \Big(z\big(F + (D + 1)z^2 - (2D + 1)zw + Dw^2\big)\partial_z \tag{6}$$
$$+ w\big(-1 + F + (D + 1)z^2 - (2D + 1)zw + Dw^2\big)\partial_w \Big).$$

Some long but easy computations show that the local analytic change of coordinates given by

$$(x, y) = \Psi(z, w) := \left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{g(z, w)}}, \frac{w}{\sqrt{g(z, w)}} \right), \tag{7}$$

5

Figure 3: Phase portrait of the orbital normal form $x(x^2 - \varepsilon)\partial_x - V_a(x)y\partial_y$. The normalizing change of coordinates maps the period annulus of the Loud center to the gray quadrant.

where

$$g(z,w) := \frac{D}{2(F-1)(D+1)}w^2 - \frac{(2D+1)}{(2F-1)(D+1)}wz + \frac{1}{2(D+1)},$$

brings the vector field $\bar{X}_a$ in (6) to

$$X_a = \frac{1}{xU_a(x,y)}\Big(x(x^2 + 2F)\partial_x + y(x^2 + 2F - 2)\partial_y\Big), \tag{8}$$

where

$$U_a(x,y) := \left(\frac{(2D+1)}{2(2F-1)}xy - \frac{D}{4(F-1)}y^2 + \frac{D+1}{2}\right)^{-1/2}.$$

Indeed, one can verify that $\Psi^* X_a = (D\Psi)^{-1}(X_a \circ \Psi) = \bar{X}_a$. For reader's convenience let us briefly explain how we obtain this normalizing change of coordinates. The idea arises from the fact that $\bar{I}(z,w) = \frac{w}{z}\left(1 + 2F\frac{g(z,w)}{z^2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2F}}$ is a first integral of $\bar{X}_a$ for $F \neq 0$, and that the change of coordinates in (7) brings it to $I(x,y) = \frac{y}{x}\left(1 + \frac{2F}{x^2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2F}}$. Thus, since the 1-form dI is proportional to $x(x^2 + 2F)dy - y(x^2 + 2F - 2)dx$, we deduce that the coordinate change brings $\bar{X}_a$ to

$$K_a(x,y)\Big(x(x^2 + 2F)\partial_x + y(x^2 + 2F - 2)\partial_y\Big),$$

so that the problem reduces to find this factor K_a.

We are now in position to apply Theorem A because, taking

$$\mu := 2, \ \varepsilon := -2F \text{ and } V_a(x) = 2 - 2F - x^2,$$

observe that we can write the vector field in (8) as

$$X_a = \frac{1}{xU_a(x,y)}\Big(x(x^\mu - \varepsilon)\partial_x - yV_a(x)\partial_y\Big).$$

Note moreover that in these normalizing coordinates the period annulus of the center at the origin of $-L_a$ is contained in the quadrant $\{x \geqslant \vartheta_\varepsilon, y \geqslant 0\}$, see Figure 3, where ϑ_ε is given in (4) with $\mu = 2$. Following the notation in Theorem A we also take

$$A := (-1,0) \times (-1/2, 1/2).$$

6

Figure 4: Phase portrait of the vector field $-L_a$ for $D \in (0,1)$ and $F \approx 0$ in the Poincaré disc. On the left, for $F \geqslant 0$, and on the right, for $F < 0$. By symmetry, the period of a periodic orbit is twice the time that spends the solution for going from Σ_1 to Σ_2. The auxiliary transverse sections Σ_1^n and Σ_2^n are defined by means of the normalizing change of coordinates Ψ that brings, locally, the saddle-node unfolding at infinity to the normal form in (3), so that Theorem A applies.

Then $U_a(0,0) > 0$ and $V_a(0) > 0$ for all $a \in A$. Furthermore, working on any compact subset K_a of A, we see that the Taylor series of $U_a(x,y)$ at $(0,0)$ is absolutely convergent for $(x,y) \in [-r,r]^2$ for some $r > 0$ depending only on K_a. By rescaling the local coordinates (x,y) we can assume that $r = 1$. This will change U_a, V_a and ε in terms of a but it will be clear that the proof does not depend on their particular expression, provided that the new ε tends to zero as $F \to 0$, which one can verify that this is the case.

Next we proceed to study the period function of the center near the polycycle at the boundary of its period annulus. To this end we first note that the vector field in (2) is invariant with respect to the symmetry $(u,v) \to (u,-v)$, and so is $-L_a$. Consequently, see Figure 4, its period function is twice the time that the solutions of $-L_a$ spend for going from $\Sigma_1 := \{u \approx -\infty, v = 0\}$ to $\Sigma_2 := \{u \approx 1, v = 0\}$. In order to study this, let us say, half period function we introduce two auxiliary transverse sections near the saddle-node bifurcation, $\Sigma_1^n := \Psi^{-1}(\{y = 1\})$ and $\Sigma_2^n := \Psi^{-1}(\{x = 1\})$, parameterized by $s \mapsto \Psi^{-1}(s + \vartheta_\varepsilon, 1)$ and $s \mapsto \Psi^{-1}(1, s)$, respectively. Here Ψ is the (local) normalizing change of coordinates given in (7) and we work with the projective coordinates (z,w). Then we define $T(s;a)$ to be half of the period of the periodic orbit $\gamma_{a,s}$ of $-L_a$ passing through the point $\Psi^{-1}(s + \vartheta_\varepsilon, 1) \in \Sigma_1^n$ and we decompose it as

$$T(s;a) = T_1(s;\varepsilon,a) + \mathcal{T}(s;\varepsilon,a) + T_2\big(\mathscr{D}(s;\varepsilon,a);\varepsilon,a\big),$$

where (see Figure 4 again)

- $T_1(s;\varepsilon,a)$ is the time that spends $\gamma_{a,s}$ for going from Σ_1 to Σ_1^n,

- $\mathcal{T}(\cdot\,;\varepsilon,a)$ and $\mathscr{D}(\cdot\,;\varepsilon,a)$ are the Dulac time and Dulac map from Σ_1^n to Σ_2^n, respectively,

- and $T_2(\cdot\,;\varepsilon,a)$ is the transition time form Σ_2^n to Σ_2.

(Here the dependence on ε is redundant because it is a function of $a = (D,F)$ but we keep it to be consistent with the notation of Theorem A.) We next study each one of these summands. To this end,

given any compact subset K_a of A, we denote by $\mathcal{I}(K_a)$ the space of functions $h(s;a)$, analytic on $s \in (0, s_0)$, verifying

$$\lim_{s \to 0^+} h(s;a) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{s \to 0^+} s\partial_s h(s;a) = 0 \text{ uniformly on } K_a.$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{I}(K_a)$ is stable under addition and multiplication.

Let us observe first that we can write $T_1(s;a) = f(s + \vartheta_\varepsilon, a)$ where f is an analytic function at $\{0\} \times A$, whereas the transition time $T_2(s;a)$ is analytic at $\{0\} \times A$. Accordingly, for $i = 1, 2$, we have that

$$T_i(s;a) = c_{i,0}(a) + c_{i,1}(a)s + sh_i(s;a) \text{ with } h_i \in \mathcal{I}(K_a)$$

and where $c_{i,0}$ and $c_{i,1}$ are continuous functions on K_a. On the other hand, since $y = \mathscr{D}(x; \varepsilon, a)$ is a trajectory of the vector field $x(x^2 - \varepsilon)\partial_x - V_a(x)y\partial_y$, by applying assertion (b) in [20, Corollary A] with $\mu = 2$ and $\ell = k = 1$, we deduce that $\mathscr{D}(s; \varepsilon, a) = sh_0(s;a)$ with $h_0 \in \mathcal{I}(K_a)$. Consequently $T_2\big(\mathscr{D}(s; \varepsilon, a); \varepsilon, a\big) = c_{2,0}(a) + s\hat{h}_2(s;a)$ where $\hat{h}_2(s) := c_{2,1}h_0(s) + h_0(s)h_2\big(sh_0(s)\big)$, and it can be easily checked that $\hat{h}_2 \in \mathcal{I}(K_a)$. Summing up we can write

$$T(s;a) = \mathcal{T}(s; \varepsilon, a) + c_0(a) + c_1(a)s + sh(s;a)$$

where $c_0 := c_{1,0} + c_{2,0}$ and $c_1 := c_{1,1}$ are continuous functions on K_a and $h := h_1 + h_2 + \hat{h}_2 \in \mathcal{I}(K_a)$. Taking this into account, since $a = (D, F)$, the application of Theorem A to $\mathcal{T}(s; \varepsilon, a)$ shows that the derivative $\partial_s T(s; D, F)$ tends to $-\infty$ as $(s, D, F) \to (0^+, D_0, 0)$ for every $D_0 \in (-1, 0)$. Consequently there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\partial_s T(s; D, F) \neq 0$ for all (s, D, F) with $s \in (0, \delta)$, $|D - D_0| < \delta$ and $|F| < \delta$. Since the period of the periodic orbit $\gamma_{s,a}$ is $2T(s; D, F)$, this concludes the proof of the result. ∎

References

[1] C. Chicone, *The monotonicity of the period function for planar Hamiltonian vector fields*, J. Differential Equations **69** (1987) 310–321.

[2] C. Chicone and M. Jacobs, *Bifurcation of critical periods for plane vector fields*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **312** (1989) 433–486.

[3] C. Chicone, review in MathSciNet, ref. 94h:58072.

[4] C. Christopher and J. Devlin, *On the classification of Liénard systems with amplitude-independent periods*, J. Differential Equations **200** (2004) 1–17.

[5] A. Cima, F. Mañosas and J. Villadelprat, *Isochronicity for several classes of Hamiltonian systems*, J. Differential Equations **157** (1999) 373–413.

[6] W.A. Coppel and L. Gavrilov, *The period function of a Hamiltonian quadratic system*, Differential Integral Equations **6** (1993) 1357–1365.

[7] F. Dumortier, J. Llibre and J.C. Artés, "Qualitative theory of planar differential systems", Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.

[8] A. Fonda, M. Sabatini and F. Zanolin, *Periodic solutions of perturbed Hamiltonian systems in the plane by the use of the Poincaré-Birkhoff Theorem*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **40** (2012) 29–52.

[9] J.-P. Françoise and C. Pugh, *Keeping track of limit cycles*, J. Differential Equations **65** (1986) 139–157.

[10] A. Gasull, A. Guillamon and J. Villadelprat, *The period function for second-order quadratic ODEs is monotone*, Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst. **4** (2004) 329–352.

[11] A. Gasull, V. Mañosa and F. Mañosas, *Stability of certain planar unbounded polycycles*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **269** (2002) 332–351.

[12] A. Gasull, C. Liu and J. Yang, *On the number of critical periods for planar polynomial systems of arbitrary degree*, J. Differential Equations **249** (2010) 684–692.

[13] M. Grau and J. Villadelprat, *Bifurcation of critical periods from Pleshkan's isochrones,* J. London Math. Soc. **81** (2010) 142–160.

[14] G.-M. Greuel, C. Lossen and E. Shustin, "Introduction to singularities and deformations", Springer Monogr. Math., Springer, Berlin, 2007.

[15] J. Guckenheimer and P. Holmes, "Nonlinear oscillations, dynamical systems, and bifurcations of vector fields", Appl. Math. Sci. **42**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.

[16] S. G. Krantz and H. R. Parks, "A Primer of Real Analytic Functions", Birkhäuser Advanced Texts, Birkhäuser Basel, 2002.

[17] P. Mardešić, D. Marín and J. Villadelprat, *On the time function of the Dulac map for families of meromorphic vector fields,* Nonlinearity **16** (2003), 855–881.

[18] P. Mardešić, D. Marín and J. Villadelprat, *The period function of reversible quadratic centers,* J. Differential Equations **224** (2006), 120–171.

[19] P. Mardešić, D. Marín and J. Villadelprat, *Unfolding of resonant saddles and the Dulac time,* Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems, **21** (2008), 1221–1244.

[20] P. Mardešić, D. Marín, M. Saavedra and J. Villadelprat, *Unfoldings of saddle-nodes and their Dulac time.* J. Differential Equations **261** (2016), 6411 - 6436.

[21] D. Marín and J. Villadelprat, *On the return time function around monodromic polycycles,* J. Differential Equations **228** (2006) 226–258.

[22] R. Roussarie, "Bifurcation of planar vector fields and Hilbert's sixteenth problem", Progr. Math. **164**, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1998.

[23] M. Saavedra, *Dulac time of a resonant saddle in the Loud family* J. Differential Equations **269** (2020) 7705–7729.

[24] J. Villadelprat, *On the reversible quadratic centers with monotonic period function*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **135** (2007) 2555–2565.

[25] C. Xingwu and W. Zhang, *Isochronicity of centers in a switching Bautin system*, J. Differential Equations **252** (2012) 2877–2899.

[26] Yan-Qian Ye *et al*, "Theory of limit cycles", Transl. Math. Monogr. **66**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1986.

[27] Y. Zhao, *On the monotonicity of the period function of a quadratic system*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **13** (2005) 795–810.