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#### Abstract

For a general autonomous planar polynomial differential system it is difficult to find conditions that are easy to verify and which guarantee global asymptotic stability, weakening the Markus-Yamabe condition. In this paper we provide three conditions that guarantee the global asymptotic stability for polynomial differential systems of the form $x^{\prime}=f_{1}(x, y), y^{\prime}=f_{2}(x, y)$, where $f_{1}$ has degree one, $f_{2}$ has degree $n \geq 1$ and has degree one in the variable $y$. As a consequence we provide sufficient conditions, weaker than the Markus-Yamabe conditions that guarantee the global asymptotic stability for any generalized Liénard polynomial differential system of the form $x^{\prime}=y, y^{\prime}=g_{1}(x)+y g_{2}(x)$ with $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ polynomials of degrees $n$ and $m$, respectively.


## 1. Introduction and statement of the main results

Since the time of Liapunov it has become evident that finding conditions which guarantee global asymptotic stability of an equilibrium point in a differential system, even in two dimensions, is a difficult problem. Liapunov's approach is probably the most wide-spread general method used, though constructing a Liapunov function usually requires ingenuity, experience, and some luck. For the 2-dimensional autonomous system

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}=f_{1}(x, y), \quad y^{\prime}=f_{2}(x, y), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $f=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right): \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$, we seek for a set of easily verifiable conditions on the function $f$ which can give global asymptotic stability. A result to this end was proven in 1993, the so-called Markus-Yamabe conjecture in two dimensions (see [6, 10, 11])). This result shows that the global asymptotic stability is obtained if the eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix $D f(x, y)$ have negative real part for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. We remark that the Markus-Yamabe conjecture holds in the positive sense in $\mathbb{R}^{2}($ see $[6,10,11])$ but it does not hold in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $n>2$, see $[1,5]$.

The aim of this paper is to weaken the Markus-Yamabe condition and still obtain global asymptotic stability for some classes of differential systems (1). The MarkusYamabe condition ensures the global asymptotic stability provided that the differential system has a unique equilibrium point, the trace of $D f$ is negative ( $\operatorname{Tr} D f<0$ ), and the determinant of $D f$ is positive ( $\operatorname{det} D f>0$ ) for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. The trace condition

[^0]guarantees that each region of finite area shrinks under the flow, while the determinant has a priori no known geometric interpretation. Several results (see [3, 9, 12, 13] weaken the Markus-Yamabe condition by replacing the determinant condition by other conditions. These new requirements on the equilibrium point seem unremovable because they are necessary for the global asymptotic stability and they are easily to verify. Therefore, guided by the results in [4] for polynomial differential systems of degrees 2 and 7 in the plane (we recall that the degree of a polynomial map $f$ is $n$ if the components of $f$ are polynomials of degree at most $n$ ) we consider the following open problem.

Open problem. Assume that $f: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is a polynomial map of degree $n$ and satisfies the following conditions:
(c1) $\operatorname{Tr} D f<0$ for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$;
(c2) The differential equation (1) has a unique equilibrium point $p \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$.
(c3) The equilibrium point $p$ is locally asymptotically stable.
Which is the largest family of planar differentiable systems (1) for which the assumptions (c1)-(c3) imply that $p$ is globally asymptotically stable.

In view of [4] any planar differential system $(x, y)^{\prime}=f(x, y)$ with $f$ being a polynomial map of degree two satisfying conditions (c1)-(c3) imply globally asymptotically stability. On the other hand, in view of [2] there are polynomial differential systems $(x, y)^{\prime}=f(x, y)$ of degree seven (i.e. $f$ has degree seven) for which conditions (c1)(c3) do not imply globally asymptotically stability. In this paper we will consider the polynomial differential systems

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}=a_{1,0} x+a_{0,1} y, \quad y^{\prime}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j, 0} x^{j}+y \sum_{i=0}^{m} b_{i, 1} x^{i} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $m, n \geq 1$.
The following is our main result.
Theorem 1. Every planar polynomial differential system (2) satisfying conditions (c1)-(c3) is globally asymptotically stable.

As a corollary we obtain the following result.
Corollary 2. Any generalized Liénard polynomial differential system

$$
x^{\prime}=y, \quad y^{\prime}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j, 0} x^{j}+y \sum_{i=0}^{m} b_{i, 1} x^{i},
$$

with $n, m$ integers, satisfying conditions (c1)-(c3) is globally asymptotically stable.
Theorem 1 is proved in section 2. Corollary 2 follows from Theorem 1 taking $a_{10}=0$ and $a_{0,1}=1$.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1

The case $n=1$ is trivial and the case $n=2$ was proved in [4]. So in this paper we consider the case $n \geq 3$.

The proof of Theorem 1 is divided into different cases. For proving it we will use the next theorem providing the local phase portraits of semi-hyperbolic equilibrium points for planar polynomial differential systems, for a proof of it see for instance [8, Theorem 2.19].

Theorem 3. Let $(0,0)$ be an isolated equilibrium point of the planar polynomial differential system

$$
x^{\prime}=F(x, y), \quad y^{\prime}=y+G(x, y)
$$

with $F$ and $G$ being polynomials without constant and linear terms in the variables $x$ and $y$. Let $y=g(x)$ be the soltution of $y^{\prime}=y+G(x, y)=0$ and assume that $F(x, g(x))=a_{m} x^{m}+\ldots$, where $m \geq 2$ and $a_{m} \neq 0$. Then
(i) If $m$ is odd and $a_{m}>0$, then $(0,0)$ is an unstable node.
(ii) If $m$ is odd and $a_{m}<0$, then $(0,0)$ is a saddle.
(iii) If $m$ is even, then $(0,0)$ is a saddle-node.
2.1. Case 1: $a_{0,1}=0$. In this case system (2) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}=a_{1,0} x=f_{1}(x, y), \quad y^{\prime}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j, 0} x^{j}+y \sum_{i=0}^{m} b_{i, 1} x^{i}=f_{2}(x, y) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The divergence of this system is

$$
\operatorname{div}=\operatorname{Tr} D f=a_{1,0}+\sum_{i=0}^{m} b_{i, 1} x^{i}
$$

Imposing the condition (c1), i.e., $\operatorname{Tr} D f<0$ for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, we must have that $m$ is even and

$$
a_{1,0}+b_{0,1}<0, \quad a_{1,0}+\sum_{i=0}^{m} b_{i, 1} x^{i}=b_{m, 1} \prod_{i=1}^{m / 2}\left(\left(x-\alpha_{i}\right)^{2}+\beta_{i}^{2}\right), \quad b_{m, 1}<0,
$$

with $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta_{i} \neq 0$. So system (3) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}=a_{1,0} x, \quad y^{\prime}=\left(b_{0,1}-a_{1,0}\right) y+\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j, 0} x^{j}+y b_{m, 1} \prod_{i=1}^{m / 2}\left(\left(x-\alpha_{i}\right)^{2}+\beta_{i}^{2}\right) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that if $b_{0,1}-a_{1,0}+b_{m, 1} \prod_{i=1}^{m / 2}\left(\alpha_{i}^{2}+\beta_{i}^{2}\right)=0$, then the line $x=0$ is filled by equilibria and so this is not possible. So $b_{0,1}-a_{1,0}+b_{m, 1} \prod_{i=1}^{m / 2}\left(\alpha_{i}^{2}+\beta_{i}^{2}\right) \neq 0$ and $(0,0)$ is the unique equilibrium point of system (4) yielding that condition (c2) is satisfied.

On the other hand, the matrix $D f(0,0)$ has eigenvalues $a_{1,0}$ and $b_{0,1}-a_{1,0}+$ $b_{m, 1} \prod_{i=1}^{m / 2}\left(\alpha_{i}^{2}+\beta_{i}^{2}\right)$. Imposing condition (c3) and taking into account that $b_{0,1}-a_{1,0}+$
$b_{m, 1} \prod_{i=1}^{m / 2}\left(\alpha_{i}^{2}+\beta_{i}^{2}\right) \neq 0$ we must have $a_{1,0}<0$ and $b_{0,1}-a_{1,0}+b_{m, 1} \prod_{i=1}^{m / 2}\left(\alpha_{i}^{2}+\beta_{i}^{2}\right)<0$, in which case the origin is a stable node.

Now we shall prove that the origin is globally asymptotically stable. Any solution of equation (4) with initial condition $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ is given by $(x(y), y(t))$ with $x(t)=x_{0} e^{a_{1,0} t}$ and $y(t)$ satisfies the variation of constants formula, that is, setting

$$
a(t)=b_{0,1}-a_{1,0}+b_{m, 1} \prod_{i=1}^{m / 2}\left(\left(x_{0} e^{a_{1,0} t}-\alpha_{i}\right)^{2}+\beta_{i}^{2}\right)
$$

we get

$$
y(t)=y_{0} e^{\int_{t_{0}}^{t} a(s) d s}+\sum_{i=0}^{n} b_{i, 0} x_{0}^{i} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{a_{1,0} i \tau} e^{\int_{\tau}^{t} a(s) d s} d \tau, \quad t \geq t_{0}
$$

Since $a_{1,0}<0$ and $x_{0} e^{a_{1,0} t} \rightarrow 0$ when $t \rightarrow+\infty$, there exists $T>0$ such that for $t \geq T$ we get

$$
a(t)<2\left(b_{0,1}-a_{1,0}+b_{m, 1} \prod_{j=0}^{m / 2}\left(\alpha_{j}^{2}+\beta_{j}^{2}\right)\right)=: a^{*}<0
$$

Then, for $t, \tau \geq T$, we have $0 \leq e^{\int_{\tau}^{t} a(s) d s} \leq e^{a^{*}(t-\tau)}$ and $e^{a_{1,0} i \tau} e^{\int_{\tau}^{t} a(s) d s} \leq e^{a_{1,0} i \tau+a^{*}(t-\tau)}$. Therefore, for $t, t_{0} \geq T$ and $t \geq t_{0}$,

$$
0 \leq|y(t)| \leq\left|y_{0}\right| e^{a^{*}\left(t-t_{0}\right)}+\sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{\left|b_{i, 0} x_{0}^{i}\right|}{\left|a_{1,0} i-a^{*}\right|}\left(e^{a_{1,0} i t}-e^{a_{1,0} i t_{0}+a^{*}\left(t-t_{0}\right)}\right)
$$

if $a^{*} \neq i a_{1,0}$ for any $i=0,1, \ldots, n$ and

$$
0 \leq|y(t)| \leq\left|y_{0}\right| e^{a^{*}\left(t-t_{0}\right)}+\left|b_{i^{*}, 0} x_{0}^{i^{*}}\right|\left(t-t_{0}\right) e^{a^{*} t}+\sum_{i=0, i \neq i *}^{n} \frac{\left|b_{i, 0} x_{0}^{i}\right|}{\left|a_{1,0} i-a^{*}\right|}\left(e^{a_{1,0} i t}-e^{a_{1,0} i t_{0}+a^{*}\left(t-t_{0}\right)}\right)
$$

if there exists $i^{*} \in\{0,1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $a^{*}=i^{*} a_{1,0}$.
In both cases using that $a_{1,0}<0$ and $a^{*}<0$ we get that any solution $(x(t), y(t))$ with initial condition $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ at time $t_{0}$, tends to the origin as $t \rightarrow+\infty$ and so the origin is globally asymptotically stable. The proof of the theorem is proved in this case.
2.2. Case 2: $a_{0,1} \neq 0$. In this case introducing the change of variables $X=x$, $Y=a_{1,0} x+a_{0,1} y$ system (2) can be written as

$$
X^{\prime}=Y, \quad Y^{\prime}=a_{1,0} Y+a_{0,1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i, 0} X^{i}+\left(Y-a_{1,0} X\right) \sum_{i=0}^{m} b_{i, 1} X^{i}
$$

that can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}=y, \quad y^{\prime}=a_{1,0} y+\sum_{i=1}^{p} \tilde{b}_{i, 0} x^{i}+y \sum_{i=0}^{m} b_{i, 1} x^{i} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where in order to avoid cumbersome notation, we have renamed the variables $(X, Y)$ again as $(x, y)$ and the new parameters $\tilde{b}_{i, 0}=a_{0,1} b_{i, 0}-a_{1,0} b_{i-1,1}$ for $i=1, \ldots, p$, where

$$
p= \begin{cases}n, & \text { if } n \geq m+1 \text { or } a_{1,0}=0 \\ m+1, & \text { if } n \leq m+1 \text { and } a_{1,0} \neq 0\end{cases}
$$

Taking into account that

$$
\operatorname{Tr} D f=a_{1,0}+\sum_{i=0}^{m} b_{i, 1} x^{i}
$$

and imposing condition (c1), i.e. $\operatorname{Tr} D f<0$ for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ we must have that $m$ is even and

$$
a_{1,0}+b_{0,1}<0, \quad a_{1,0}+\sum_{i=0}^{m} b_{i, 1} x^{i}=b_{m, 1} \prod_{i=1}^{m / 2}\left(\left(x-\alpha_{i}\right)^{2}+\beta_{i}^{2}\right), \quad b_{m, 1}<0
$$

with $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta_{i} \neq 0$. Hence system (5) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{\prime} & =y \\
y^{\prime} & =\sum_{i=1}^{p} \tilde{b}_{i, 0} x^{i}+y b_{m, 1} \prod_{i=1}^{m / 2}\left(\left(x-\alpha_{i}\right)^{2}+\beta_{i}^{2}\right)  \tag{6}\\
& =A y+\sum_{i=1}^{p} \tilde{b}_{i, 0} x^{i}+y\left(b_{m, 1} \prod_{i=1}^{m / 2}\left(\left(x-\alpha_{i}\right)^{2}+\beta_{i}^{2}\right)-A\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $A=b_{m, 1} \prod_{i=1}^{m / 2}\left(\alpha_{i}^{2}+\beta_{i}^{2}\right)$ and $b_{m, 1} \prod_{i=1}^{m / 2}\left(\left(x-\alpha_{i}\right)^{2}+\beta_{i}^{2}\right)-A$ has no constant terms.

Case 2.1: $p$ even. Note that if $\tilde{b}_{10} \neq 0$, then equation (6) has always an equilibrium point besides the origin taking into account that

$$
\left.y^{\prime}\right|_{y=0}=x \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \tilde{b}_{i+1,0} x^{i}
$$

with $\tilde{b}_{1,0} \tilde{b}_{p, 0} \neq 0$ and $p-1$ being odd (equation $\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \tilde{b}_{i+1,0} x^{i}=0$ has always a real solution different from $x=0$ ). Therefore, in order that condition (c2) is fulfilled we must have $\tilde{b}_{1,0}=0$. In this case system (6) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}=y, \quad y^{\prime}=A y+\sum_{i=2}^{p} \tilde{b}_{i, 0} x^{i}+y\left(b_{m, 1} \prod_{i=1}^{m / 2}\left(\left(x-\alpha_{i}\right)^{2}+\beta_{i}^{2}\right)-A\right) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case the origin is semi-hyperbolic and in order to apply Theorem 3 we must write equation (7) in canonical Jordan form. For doing this we apply the change of
variables $X=x-Y / A, Y=y$ and system (7) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X^{\prime}=-\frac{1}{A}\left(\sum_{i=2}^{p} \tilde{b}_{i, 0}\left(X+\frac{Y}{A}\right)^{i}+Y b_{m, 1} \prod_{i=1}^{m / 2}\left(\left(X+\frac{Y}{A}-\alpha_{i}\right)^{2}+\beta_{i}^{2}\right)\right) \\
& Y^{\prime}=A Y+\sum_{i=2}^{p} \tilde{b}_{i, 0}\left(X+\frac{Y}{A}\right)^{i}+Y\left(b_{m, 1} \prod_{i=1}^{m / 2}\left(\left(X+\frac{Y}{A}-\alpha_{i}\right)^{2}+\beta_{i}^{2}\right)-A\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, after rescaling by the time variable $d s=A d t$, and using Newton's binomial formula, we obtain the system in canonical normal form

$$
\begin{align*}
& X^{\prime}=-\sum_{i=2}^{p} \frac{\tilde{b}_{i, 0}}{A^{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{i}\binom{i}{j} \frac{X^{j} Y^{i-j}}{A^{i-j}}-Y\left(\frac{b_{m, 1}}{A^{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{m / 2}\left(\left(X+\frac{Y}{A}-\alpha_{i}\right)^{2}+\beta_{i}^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{A}\right),  \tag{8}\\
& Y^{\prime}=Y+\sum_{i=2}^{p} \frac{\tilde{b}_{i, 0}}{A} \sum_{j=0}^{i}\binom{i}{j} \frac{X^{j} Y^{i-j}}{A^{i-j}}+\frac{Y}{A}\left(b_{m, 1} \prod_{i=1}^{m / 2}\left(\left(X+\frac{Y}{A}-\alpha_{i}\right)^{2}+\beta_{i}^{2}\right)-A\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where now the prime means derivative in the new time $s$ (note that since $A<0$ the original system in time $t$ changes the direction of the orbits). Applying Theorem 3 we get that

$$
Y=-\tilde{b}_{2,0} X^{2} / A+\cdots \text { and then } F(X, Y)=-\tilde{b}_{2,0} X^{2} / A^{2}+\cdots
$$

implying that the origin $(0,0)$ is a saddle-node, which is not possible. So in order that condition (c3) is fulfilled we must have $\tilde{b}_{2,0}=0$, but then system (7) would be of the form

$$
x^{\prime}=y, \quad y^{\prime}=A y+x^{3} \sum_{i=0}^{p-3} \tilde{b}_{i+3,0} x^{i}+y\left(b_{m, 1} \prod_{i=1}^{m / 2}\left(\left(x-\alpha_{i}\right)^{2}+\beta_{i}^{2}\right)-A\right) .
$$

and the equation $\sum_{i=0}^{p-3} \tilde{b}_{i+3,0} x^{i}=0$ has a real solution different from $x=0$ unless $\tilde{b}_{3,0}=0$, but then again applying Theorem 3 we get that

$$
Y=-\tilde{b}_{4,0} X^{4} / A+\cdots \text { and then } F(X, Y)=-\tilde{b}_{4,0} X^{4} / A^{2}+\cdots
$$

implying that the origin $(0,0)$ is a saddle-node, which is not possible. Proceeding inductively we conclude that $\tilde{b}_{i, 0}=0$ for $i \geq 1$ and in this case taking into account that $p$ is even we get that the origin is a saddle-node and condition (c3) is not satisfied. In short, in this case no system satisfies conditions (c1)-(c3) and there is nothing to prove.

Case 2.2: podd. Note that if $\tilde{b}_{10} \neq 0$, the matrix $D f(0,0)$ has eigenvalues

$$
\lambda_{ \pm}=\frac{1}{2}\left(A \pm \sqrt{A^{2}+4 \tilde{b}_{1,0}}\right)
$$

and imposing condition (c3) we must have $\tilde{b}_{1,0}<0$ and since $A<0$, the origin is a stable node if $A^{2}+4 \tilde{b}_{1,0} \geq 0$ and a stable focus if $A^{2}+4 \tilde{b}_{1,0}<0$.

If $\tilde{b}_{1,0}=0$ then we get system (7) and the origin is semi-hyperbolic. Proceeding as for Case 2.1 we get that if $\tilde{b}_{2,0} \neq 0$ then the origin is a saddle-node, which is not possible. So, $\tilde{b}_{2,0}=0$. Now applying Theorem 3 to system (8) we get that $Y=-\tilde{b}_{3,0} X^{3} / A+\cdots$ and then $F(X, Y)=-\tilde{b}_{3,0} X^{3} / A^{2}+\cdots$ implying that, if $\tilde{b}_{3,0} \neq 0$, in order that the origin $(0,0)$ is a node we must have $\tilde{b}_{3,0}<0$. If $\tilde{b}_{3,0}=0$ then in order that condition (c3) is fulfilled we must have $\tilde{b}_{4,0}=0$ and so on. In short, in order that condition (c3) if fulfilled for system (7) there must exist $i^{*} \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ odd, for which $\tilde{b}_{i^{*}, 0}<0$ and system (7) writes as

$$
x^{\prime}=y, \quad y^{\prime}=A y+x^{i^{*}} \sum_{i=0}^{p-i^{*}} \tilde{b}_{i+i^{*}, 0} x^{i}+y\left(b_{m, 1} \prod_{i=1}^{m / 2}\left(\left(x-\alpha_{i}\right)^{2}+\beta_{i}^{2}\right)-A\right)
$$

Note that $p-i^{*}$ is even and that equation $\sum_{i=0}^{p-i^{*}} \tilde{b}_{i+i^{*}, 0} x^{i}=0$ cannot have a real solution (otherwise condition (c2) is not satisfied). Taking this into account we can write

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{p-i^{*}} \tilde{b}_{i+i^{*}, 0} x^{i}=\tilde{b}_{p, 0} \prod_{k=1}^{\left(p-i^{*}\right) / 2}\left(x^{2}-2 \tilde{\alpha}_{k} x+\left(\tilde{\alpha}_{k}^{2}+\tilde{\beta}_{k}^{2}\right)\right), \quad \text { for some } \tilde{\alpha}_{k}, \tilde{\beta}_{k} \in \mathbb{R} \text { with } \tilde{\beta}_{k} \neq 0
$$

In this case the origin of system (6) is the unique equilibrium point of system (6) and taking $\tilde{b}_{i^{*}, 0}<0$ and $A<0$ all conditions (c1)-(c3) are satisfied. Note that

$$
\tilde{b}_{i^{*}, 0}=\tilde{b}_{p, 0} \prod_{k=1}^{\left(p-i^{*}\right) / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}^{2}+\beta_{k}^{2}\right)
$$

and since $\tilde{b}_{i^{*}, 0}<0$ this implies that $\tilde{b}_{p, 0}<0$.
We recall that $p$ is odd, $m$ is even and $b_{p, 0}<0$. A study (see [7]) of such system in a neighborhood of the origin on the Poincaré sphere forms the backbone of the proof. In this case system (6) is a generalized Liénard differential system with $p$ odd, $m$ even, $p>m+1$ and $\tilde{b}_{p, 0}<0$. We can indeed make the rescaling

$$
x=\alpha X, \quad y=\beta Y, \quad t=\gamma s, \quad \alpha=\left(\frac{b_{m, 1}^{2}}{\tilde{b}_{p, 0}}\right)^{1 /(p-1-2 m)}, \quad \gamma=-\frac{1}{b_{m, 1} \alpha^{m}}, \quad \beta=\frac{\alpha}{\gamma},
$$

and system (6) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{\prime}=Y, \quad Y^{\prime}=A Y+X^{i^{*}} \sum_{i=0}^{p-i^{*}-1} \hat{b}_{i+i^{*}, 0} X^{i}-X^{p}+Y\left(\hat{b}_{m, 1} \prod_{i=1}^{m / 2}\left(\left(X-\hat{\alpha}_{i}\right)^{2}+\hat{\beta}_{i}^{2}\right)-A\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{b}_{2 i, 1}, \hat{b}_{m, 1}, \hat{\alpha}_{i}, \hat{\beta}_{i}$ are the new parameters and the coefficient of $Y X^{m}$ is -1 .
Note that now system (9) is a generalized Liénard differential system with $p$ odd, $m$ even, and the coefficient of $X^{p}$ equal to -1 . Using the differential system (3) of [7] with $\varepsilon=1, m$ odd and $n$ even (in the notation of [7]) we get that the infinity of system (9) must be one of the following five infinities described in the phase portraits of Figure 5(3), Figure 6(1), Figure 7(3), Figure 8(6) and Figure 9(3).

From these previous figures we get that either the infinity is a repeller (there are orbits which come from infinity, but there are no orbits going to infinity) in Figure 5(3), Figure 6(1) and Figure 7(3), or there are no orbits going or coming from infinity in Figure 8(6) and Figure 9(3).

Note that since the divergence of the system is negative (condition (c1)) by the Bendixon Theorem (see for instance [8, Theorem 7.10]) no periodic orbit exist and conditions (c1)-(c3) together with the behaviour at infinity previously described imply that the origin is globally asymptotically stable. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.
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